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ABSTRACT

A research project on laboratorial characterization of the breach processes involved in the failure by overtopping of earth dams is currently ongoing at LNEC and IST. The breach evolution process is a complex phenomenon which requires the understanding of both hydraulic and geotechnical components involved. Laboratorial tests allowed relating the dynamics of the breach with the hydraulic and geotechnical properties of the embankments and understanding how the latter influence the failure mechanisms. In this paper four different approaches for estimating breach hydrographs are presented. Two are based on: i) stage-discharge relations; and ii) water-volume balances within the reservoir. The other two constitute a novel estimating method and are both based on velocity field maps, obtained from the application of an LSPIV algorithm and on breach area detection, delineated with the aid of a laser sheet. The technique used for combining the instrumentation and measuring methods in these different approaches for breach hydrographs estimation is herein scrutinized.

RESUMO

Está atualmente em curso no LNEC/IST um projeto de investigação que visa a caracterização experimental dos escoamentos resultantes da rotação de barragens de terra. O processo de evolução da brecha resultante da rotação é complexo e a sua caracterização requer o conhecimento de aspectos hidráulicos e geotécnicos presentes na rotação assim como, a sua própria interação. O programa de ensaios sistemáticos empreendido numa instalação experimental construída para o efeito permitiu relacionar a dinâmica da evolução da brecha com as propriedades hidráulicas e geotécnicas dos aterros e melhor compreender como estas influenciam os mecanismos de rotação. Neste artigo são apresentadas quatro diferentes abordagens para estimar os hidrogramas efuentes de barragens de terra sujeitas a galgamento. As duas primeiras estimativas são indiretas e consistem numa expressão que relaciona as vazões com os níveis registados num descarregador do tipo bazin localizado a jusante da brecha e uma equação que traduz o balanço de massa na albufeira. As outras duas estimativas são directas, no sentido em que derivam de medições feitas junto à brecha e constituem uma inovação. Ambas se baseiam na medição do campo de velocidades que se estabelece junto à brecha, usando um algoritmo de LSPIV, e na medição da evolução da área da mesma, por recurso a uma folha de lazer. Neste artigo são igualmente apresentadas, de forma sistemática, a instrumentação e as técnicas de medição utilizadas na instalação experimental supracitada.
1. INTRODUCTION

Failures of earth dams have distinct reasons, being piping the main cause of failure, followed by overtopping, with 46% and 36% of failures, respectively, for failures that occurred all around the world between 1940 and 2000 (Foster et al. 2000). Different failure mechanisms may be observed depending on the type of dam and construction soil (Singh 1996). For cohesive soils, the breach forms through headcuts that deepen and enlarge over time (Hanson et al. 2005), whereas the failure process through non-cohesive soils tends to develop through progressive surface erosion (Visser 1998; Coleman et al. 2002). The discharge hydrograph of the breaching dam (herein called breach hydrograph) can thus greatly differ depending on the main characteristics of the dam. This corresponds to the major source of uncertainty in risk assessment studies.

The main objective of this research is to quantify the breach hydrograph following the overtopping of earth dams. To accomplish this objective, a 0.45 m high scaled earth dam was subjected to overtopping under well controlled laboratory conditions. Breach hydrograph estimates from experimental data have been obtained by several different methods that can be divided in two different types: i) indirect estimates - obtained with data collected far from the dam; ii) direct estimates - obtained with data collected at the dam site. A novel direct method, based on LSPIV and on breach area detection, is presented and its quality is assessed by comparison with the results obtained by those using indirect estimates more commonly used.

Among the indirect estimates, those based on stage-discharge relations (rating curves) and on water-volume balances within the reservoirs are the most commons. Stage-discharge relations are fitted from a set of simultaneous stage and discharge measurements at a control section downstream the breaching dam (Rantz et al. 1982). The accuracy of these estimates is undermined if the control section is not close enough to the breaching dam, so that inertial effects cannot be negligible and the discharge may not be representative of the breach hydrograph. Estimates obtained with water volume balances within the reservoir are usually computed from the reservoir elevation and storage records (Singh 1996; Morris et al. 2007).

Direct estimates have been based on mechanical velocimeters (Muste et al. 2008) that produce velocity distributions to be integrated in the breach cross-section. The application of these mechanical devices is, in general, time consuming as the velocity points are sampled one by one. On the other hand, dam-breach flows, even at a laboratorial scale, are still highly transient and therefore easily disturbed by intrusive devices. Hence, the application of this method in highly unsteady flows is strongly inadvisable. Acoustic Doppler Velocimeters (ADV) have also been employed on transient flows measurements. Nonetheless, in dam-breach flows these velocimeters have the following drawbacks: i) do not provide continuous observations; ii) require the use of seeding; and iii) are intrusive devices (Bastiaans 2000; Yorke and Oberg 2002; Tauro et al. 2014). Remote methods such as radar (Costa et al. 2006) and image-based (Fujita et al. 1998; Harpold et al. 2006) velocity measurements have been used as non-intrusive equipment’s in a wide range of flow conditions. As one of the remote image-based techniques, the Large Scale Particle Image Velocimetry (LSPIV) has gained increasing popularity in surface velocity measurements for offering superior efficiency, performance and reliance than the traditional mechanical instrumentation (Muste et al. 2008). It consists in recording the movement of seeded fluid and estimating the particles displacement from pairs of consecutive images through cross-correla-tion techniques (Adrian 1991; Raffel et al. 2007).

2. DAM-BREACH EXPERIMENT

2.1. Experimental facility

The experiment was conducted in a medium scale laboratory channel, 31.5 m long and 6.60 m wide, at LNEC, Portugal. Fig. 1 illustrates the general view of the channel and the experimental setup.
The scaled model, a 0.45 m high earth dam composed by a silty sand material (soil fractions: 7% gravel, 66% sand and 27% non-plastic fines), was conducted to overtopping. The sediments from the dam failure were collected in a settling basin (length=4.4m; width=1.7m and depth=0.60m - 6 in Fig. 1) equipped with a rectangular spillway (7 in Fig. 1) located at the channel downstream end.

More details about earth dam dimensions, soil properties and compaction procedures can be found in Bento (2013) and Jónatas (2013).

2.1. Instrumentation and experimental procedures

Several variables were measured during the dam-breach experiment (Fig. 2): (i) the flow discharge at the reservoir inlet; (ii) the water level in the reservoir and in the settling basin; (iii) the surface flow velocity field near the breach; (iv) the breach geometry evolution; and (v) the free-surface elevation in the vicinity of the breach. Measurements (i) and (ii) were used to obtain an indirect estimate of the breach hydrograph based on the reservoir’s mass balance. Measurements (ii) were used to obtain an indirect estimate based on the rating curve of the downstream spillway. Measurements (iii), (iv) and (v) allowed for the direct estimates of the breach hydrograph.

The inflow discharge, controlled by a manual valve at the channel entrance, was real-time recorded by a digital flowmeter. The water levels in the reservoir and in the settling basin were measured by limnimeters and resistive probes. Two limnimeters were placed at the channel lateral walls while three resistive probes were distributed within the reservoir. A resistive probe was placed inside an acrylic cylinder in the settling basin to avoid signal acquisition disturbances caused by free-surface oscillations.

Regarding surface velocity measurements, instantaneous maps were obtained with the open-source PIVlab software (Thielicke and Stamhuis 2014) applied to the overhead images obtained with a high-speed video camera (MotionScope M3). Styrofoam beads with a mean diameter of 3 mm and a density of 1.05 gcm$^{-3}$ were used as tracer particles.

The breach geometry evolution and the free-surface position in the vicinity of the breach during the experiment were determined by digital image post-processing. Both were delineated with a vertical laser sheet created by a cylindrical lens from a 1 mm radius continuum green laser beam (532 nm). A second high-speed video camera (Photon-Focus) was placed upstream aligned with the dam crest center, registering the intersection of the laser sheet

---

**Fig. 1** – Plan view of the experimental facility (dimensions in meters)

**Fig. 2** – Instrumentation implemented in the experimental facility
with the media interfaces, namely the free-surface and the breach geometry under water. An additional digital camera (Sony DCR-SX 53E), positioned downstream the dam, was used to record the profile of the breach formation and erosion evolution of the downstream face of the dam during the overtopping.

A frame rate of 150 fps was adopted in MotionScope M3 to avoid in-plane loss of pairs (Hart 2000) during the application of LSPIV algorithms for the computation of velocity maps near the dam-breach. A proper illumination, provided by 2 spotlights combined with a reduction on the camera light exposure, enabled good image contrast and the catching of small displacements of the seeding particles upon the shutter opening. A small camera light exposure time was adopted in PhotonFocus to avoid image blurring.

The definition of the breach initiation instant (t=0s) was prompted by the sudden removal of a metallic plate when the upstream level reached the crest level allowing the flow through the pilot channel at the crest centerline. The image acquisition with all the cameras (HD and high speed) and the signal acquisition from resistive probes, limnimeters and flowmeter was initiated at the breach initiation instant (t=0s).

Further details regarding the experimental procedure, the instrumentation and the respective acquisition software can be found in Bento (2013).

2.2. Data processing

Images from the MotionScope M3 and PhotonFocus high-speed digital video cameras were processed to determine the surface velocity maps and the breach geometry, respectively, envisaging a direct calculation of the breach hydrograph. The signal from resistive probes, limnimeters and flowmeter, necessary for the indirect estimates, were subjected to basic signal filtering including despiking and denoising.

The surface velocity maps were obtained from the evaluation of image pairs separated by a small finite time step (in this case, \(\Delta t=1/150s\)). The images sequence obtained with the MotionScope M3 camera were used for this purpose, being previously subjected to imaging pre-processing techniques to increase its quality and therefore the results accuracy (Raffel et al. 2007). A threshold high-pass filter with a cutoff of 150px was chosen, to emphases tracer contrast against the background and to eliminate noise due to inhomogeneous lightning (Thielicke 2014).

The evaluation of image pairs was performed with a cross-correlation method (Pust 2000). The cross-correlation function for two discretely sampled images is defined by the following expression, which statistically measures the match degree between a pair of images, deriving the most probable particle displacement in each interrogation area:

\[
\Phi_{fg}(m,n) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(i,j)g(i+m,j+n)
\]

with \(f(i,j)\) and \(g(i,j)\) denoting the image intensity distribution of the interrogation areas of the first and second images at \((i,j)\) location, respectively, \(m\) and \(n\) the pixel offset between the two interrogation areas, \(M\) and \(N\) the number of rows and columns and \(\Phi_{fg}(m,n)\) the cross-correlation function (see variables in Fig. 3).

Equation (1) was solved by a discrete Fourier transform (DFT) approach (Keane and Adrian 1992; Raffel et al. 2007), both available in PIVlab software (Thielicke and Stamhuis 2014). Velocity maps in known units are obtained through image calibration. Two horizontal rulers fixed to an aluminum bar suspended over the flow by two floating blocks were used in this experiment as measuring units (Fig. 4). These followed the water surface decrease during the test contemplating the distance variations between the camera and the water surface. The floating blocks were far enough so that the flow field and the erosion process would not be affected.

![Fig. 3 – Pair of PIV images frames](image-url)
The breach area was determined through analysis of the images acquired with the PhotonFocus and MotionScope M3 high-speed digital video cameras. Two different approaches were followed to define the breach shape (Fig. 5):

Approach A - the intersection of the submerged dam body with the laser sheet; The breach bottom corresponds to the bright line resulting from the intersection of the laser sheet with the submerged dam body (the trace of the dam body) - a technique used in a different context by Soares-Frazão et al. (2007);

Approach B - the crest line formed between the undisturbed upstream dam slope and the breach channel; The crest line corresponds to the bright line resulting from the intersection of the laser sheet with the air-water interface. This crest line is detected as a brighter region, shaped as an arc, in the images of the MotionScope M3 camera.

A chess plate of known dimensions was aligned with the laser sheet and partially submerged in the reservoir to be included in the images captured with the PhotonFocus camera for calibration purpose. The submerged part of the plate allowed for the determination of the experimental refraction index (\(i_{\text{experimental}}=1.45\)). This value is close to the theoretic index of (\(i_{\text{theoretic}}=1.33\)), being the difference attributed to water turbidity (Reyes-Coronado et al. 2005).

Determining the free-surface elevation of unsteady flows requires signal post-processing to eliminate noise and spurious values (Leal et al. 2006; Soares-Frazão et al. 2012). In this work, the free-surface elevation inside reservoir was determined by three resistive probes, two limnimeters and seven acoustic probes placed in the breach vicinity (Fig. 1). A resistive probe was also placed at the channel, downstream the settling basin for this purpose. The probes and discharge meter signals were first despiked with a simple threshold criterion applied to detrended data (trend was subsequently added back). A common zero was then determined: a time interval for which there was no inflow and no outflow (constant free-surface elevation) was selected with data taken before the initiation of the test and the same elevation was assigned to all probes in this time interval. Then the signal was filtered to eliminate electric noise but also small amplitude surface waves. For this purpose, the power spectral density function of the signal corresponding to the constant free-surface time interval was analysed. It was found that surface waves were associated to frequencies of 0.5-2 Hz. Hence, small frequencies were attenuated with a low pass first-order digital Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 0.5 Hz.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Direct estimates of the breach hydrograph

The direct estimates of the breach hydrograph were obtained as the product of flow velocities and breach areas (see Eq. (2)):

\[
Q = \int_A u_s \cdot n dS \approx U_n A
\]  

(2)

where \(u_s\) is the surface velocity at the breach section, \(n\) is the unit normal vector pointing out of the reservoir, \(A\) is the breach flow area and \(U_n\) is the depth-averaged flow velocity normal to the breach section.

As previously mentioned in section 2, two different approaches were followed to determine the breach area: (A), based on the
intersection of the laser sheet with the submerged dam body and with the free-surface and (B), where the breach area is that of the fluid region above the crest line.

A comparison between the two direct estimates is plotted in Fig. 6 a). For lower discharges, the two estimates denote the same increase tendency. As the breaching process develops, the rate of increase of estimate (A) becomes markedly smaller than that of estimate (B), a trend that, as denoted in next section 3.2, is not supported by the indirect estimates. This may be due to the limitations introduced by the width of the laser sheet: the normal velocity in estimate (A), which coincides with the streamwise velocity in channel coordinates, should be integrated over the entire channel planar cross-section. For small breaches, this is not a major drawback since the longitudinal velocities are small everywhere except in the vicinity of the breach. For larger breaches, the longitudinal velocity beyond the illuminated region becomes increasingly important and failing to considering it introduces no negligible errors.

3.2. Indirect estimates and comparison with the direct estimates of the breach hydrograph

Two indirect methods to estimate the breach hydrograph were considered. The first used the rating curve of the spillway installed in the settling basin while the second was obtained by applying the mass balance equation in the reservoir. The rating curve of the spillway was empirically determined in the laboratory on the basis of water level above the crest and discharge pairs (H, Qs): different flow discharges were imposed at the inlet and the resistive probe placed in the settling basin, measured the correspondent flow depths over the spillway crest. A polynomial function was then applied to fit the filtered measured data. The mass balance equation in the reservoir consisted of an explicit forward differencing scheme of the reservoir’s continuity. Detailed descriptions are provided in Bento (2013) and Jónatas (2013).

Fig. 6 b) shows the breach hydrographs obtained from the two indirect estimates and the two direct estimates. Time evolution of the inflow discharge is also shown for completeness. Generally, direct and indirect estimates reveal a good overall agreement. However, it is noted that the discharge estimate based on the mass balance in the reservoir is prone to spurious oscillations since it is a quantity obtained from numerical differentiation thus likely to amplify small perturbations in the primitive signal.

The direct estimate obtained through approach (A) is in general agreement with both the mass balance and rating curve hydrograph estimates: the rates of increase are similar and the values are similar. Particularly encouraging is the response of the direct estimate to the break in the inflow hydrograph that occurs approximately at \( t = 2250 \) s. Both indirect estimates, mass balance and rating curve, respond almost immediately, registering a decrease in their positive slope. The direct hydrographs estimates should follow this trend since these are based on the data collected at the breach vicinity, from where it would be expected that, at the breach entrance, the

![Fig. 6 – Breach hydrograph: a) Direct estimates; b) Comparison of the direct and indirect estimates (mass balance e and the downstream rating curve)](image)
discharge would also respond almost immediately. In this particular aspect, as the same type of response was observed, this is taken as highly encouraging.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Dam breaching following overtopping invariably faces morphological changes of both hydraulic and geotechnical nature. It is believed that the link between hydraulic and geotechnical phenomena is a necessary step towards a better understanding and definition of dam breach hydrographs. Thus, in the present work, two indirect and two direct breach hydrograph estimates were undertaken under well-controlled laboratory conditions.

The novel and direct methods herein introduced appear to be promising alternatives for modeling dam-breach overtopping failures. They require expensive instrumentation with complex calibration processes and, at this stage, are still limited to the study of small breaches. However, they served as a proof of concept proved to be robust and non-intrusive methods with potential to become powerful tools to evaluate and discuss the impact of sudden mass detachments during overtopping failure and consequent inertial effects.

The breach hydrograph estimates discussed in this paper resulted from accurate data gathered with recent and suitable instrumentation and measuring methods. The instrumentation and measuring methods adopted in these experiments were chosen among several others which were also tested and either did not serve the purpose or not reached the desired accuracy degree. Therefore, the final instrumentation set-up endorsed the achievement of accurate data, allowing the discussion of the applicability extent of each breach hydrograph estimates herein presented.
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